

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/660,022	09/12/2000	Antonio Lopez Cabrera	U-012473-1	5837	
7:	590 12/17/2002				
Janet I Cord			EXAMINER		
Ladas & Parry 26 West 61 Street			WARE, TODD		
New York, NY	10023		1271277	DARED NUMBER	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1615		
			DATE MAILED: 12/17/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/660,022	CABRERA ET AL.				
namen, name	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Todd D Ware	1615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondenc add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 28 October 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed a	amendment			
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT	Γ place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were	newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo			nd an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-25</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a) approved or b) disappr	oved by the Examin	ner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. ☐ Other:						

٠٠ ر حد

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant argues that the cited art does not disclose a non-alkaline polymer soluble in water. Example 3 of each of the Sachs et all patents disclose HPMC (the same polymer as in the instant application) in layers. In addition, the instant claims recite comprising language and do not exclude additional elements disclosed or taught in the cited art.

Gollamudi S. Kishore, PhD Primary Examiner

Group 1600